

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/466,400		12/17/1999	MICHAEL FRIEDOW	10191/1172	1125
26646	7590	02/08/2002			
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY			EXAMINER		
NEW YOR		0004	LEON, EDWIN A		OWIN A
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2833	-
				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/466,400	FRIEDOW ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAN INCOME.	Edwin A. León	2833
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
- External after - If the - If NC - Failure - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed an 40/0	0.400	
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/03</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat Ex parte Quavle, 1935 C.F	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7. 11, 400 0.0. 210.
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 3-19 is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-19</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or o	election requirement.	
	on Papers		
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)∏ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepte	ed or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on is	s: a)□ approved b)□ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
40) 🗀 🖚	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[∑] /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
	All b) Some * c) None of:		
	Certified copies of the priority documents h		
2	Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in App	olication No
	. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	III (PC:1 RIIIA 17 2/a))	
14) <u></u> Acl	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application
a) [☐ The translation of the foreign language provis knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	ional application has been	n received
tachment(s		• 30	,
☐ Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) It ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2833

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

 Applicant's amendment filed December 6, 2001 in which Claim 1 has been amended and new Claims 17-19 have been added, has been place of record in the file as Paper No. 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Togashi (U.S. Patent No. 5,772,470). With regard to Claims 1, 4 and 19, Togashi discloses a device for contacting an electrically operated apparatus, comprising: at least one terminal contact (bottom of Fig. 1) on a side of the apparatus, the at least one terminal contact (bottom of Fig. 1) being oriented parallel to an installation direction of the apparatus; and a plug (50) including at least one sleeve contact (11), the at least one sleeve contact (11) and the at least one terminal contact (bottom of Fig. 1) being adapted to be assembled together parallel to the installation

Art Unit: 2833

direction and the at least one sleeve contact (11) including two concentric sleeve contacts (11). See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

Togashi discloses the claimed invention except for the at least one terminal including two concentric terminal contacts, the two sleeve contacts connecting the two terminal contacts and the sleeve contacts having only one circumferentially contiguous contact area. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the at least one terminal including two concentric terminal contacts, the two sleeve contacts connecting the two terminal contacts and the sleeve contacts having only one circumferentially contiguous contact area, since applicant has not disclose that these features are critical, patentably distinguishing features and the invention would perform equally well with a single terminal contact (bottom of Fig. 1) as shown in Fig. 1 of Togashi and the sleeve contacts (11) as shown in Fig. 4 of Togashi.

With regard to Claim 3, Togashi discloses the sleeve contacts (11) are each in contact with the terminal contact (bottom of Fig. 1) on an outside circumference when joined together. See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 5, Togashi discloses insulation situated between the terminal contact (bottom of Fig. 1). See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 6, Togashi discloses the insulation includes an insulating sleeve composed of a plastic. See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 7, Togashi discloses a back wall (56) of the plug acts as a further insulation which, together with the insulation between the terminal contact

Art Unit: 2833

(bottom of Fig. 1), form two contact chambers insulated from one another. See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 8, Togashi discloses the at least one sleeve contact (11) includes an interior sleeve contact and an exterior sleeve contact, a printed conductor of the interior sleeve contact passing through a recess in the exterior sleeve contact. See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 9, Togashi discloses the at least one sleeve contact (11) includes a cylindrical segment. See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 10, Togashi discloses the at least one sleeve contact (11) having polygonal cross section. See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 11, Togashi discloses the at least one sleeve contact (11) has a diameter so as to overcome a predetermined contacting force when connected. See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 12, Togashi discloses the sleeve contacts (11) have inclined insertion guides. See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 13, Togashi discloses a plurality of punched grid conductors (101) connected to the plug (50). See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5 and 20-22.

With regard to Claim 14, Togashi discloses a first of the sleeve contacts (11) and a first of the punched grid conductors (101) are a one-piece unit, and a second of the sleeve contacts (11) and a second of the punched grid conductors (101) are a one-piece unit. See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5 and 20-22.

Art Unit: 2833

Page 5

With regard to Claim 15, Togashi discloses the sleeve contacts (11) are formed by one of bending and folding the punched grid conductors (101). See Column 5, Lines 6-13 and Figs. 1-5 and 20-22.

With regard to Claim 17-18, It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the device of Togashi with any electrically operated apparatus as taught in Column 1, Lines 5-12 of Togashi.

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Togashi (U.S. Patent No. 5,772,470) in view of Beloritsky (U.S. Patent No. 6,036,540). With regard to Claim 15, Togashi discloses the claimed invention except for the sleeve contact being configured to substantially surround a respective one of the terminal contacts when the at least one sleeve contact and the at least one terminal contact are assembled.

Beloritsky discloses a contact (10), which surrounds a terminal contact (25). See Figs. 1-3.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Togashi by including a contact which surrounds a terminal contact as taught in Beloritsky to improve the electrical connection between both contacts.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed December 6, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's argument regarding Claim 1 that the

Art Unit: 2833

reference does not show the device for contacting an electrically operated apparatus, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex. parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Furthermore, Togashi (Column 1, Lines 5-12) discloses the use of the device for an electrically operated apparatuses.

In response to applicant's argument that the reference does not show the at least one terminal including two concentric terminal contacts, the two sleeve contacts connecting the two terminal contacts, Applicant has failed to provide an explanation of why this feature is critical to the invention. Therefore, it is the Examiner's opinion that this feature is an obvious matter of design choice.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Art Unit: 2833

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin A. León whose telephone number is (703) 308-6253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2833

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800